



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,556	02/27/2004	Kevin S. Beyer	SVL920030140/US1/3026P	7077
29141 7590 01/30/2009 SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP 2465 E. Bayshore Road, Suite No. 406 PALO ALTO, CA 94303				
EXAMINER DARNO, PATRICK A				
ART UNIT 2169		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 01/30/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10788556 SVL920030140US1/3026P	2/27/2004	BEYER ET AL.	

SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP
2465 E. Bayshore Road, Suite No. 406
PALO ALTO, CA 94303

EXAMINER

PATRICK A., DARNO

ART UNIT	PAPER
2169	01222009

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The following communication is in response to the "Order Returning Undocketed Appeal To Examiner" (hereinafter "Order") mailed by Pamela S. Bennett on Dec. 9, 2008.

The Order mailed Dec. 9, 2008 raised two inconsistencies which appeared to arise in the record of Application 10/786,556. The first inconsistency involves the After Final Amendment filed January 25, 2007. The second inconsistency involves the claim Appendix in the Appellant's Appeal Brief filed June 20, 2007.

With respect to the first inconsistency, the Examiner clarifies that the After Final Amendment filed January 25, 2007 is entered.

With respect to the second inconsistency, the Examiner clarifies that the claims provided by Appellant in the Appendix of Appellant's Appeal Brief filed June 20, 2007 reflect the correct status of the claims [i.e., The After Final Amendments filed January 25, 2007 are reflected in the claims in the Appendix attached to the Appeal Brief filed June 20, 2007.].

In light of the statements set forth above, any and all inconsistencies that may have existed in the record for the instant application are assumed to have been resolved.

If any further assistance is required, the Examiner can be contacted by phone [571-272-0788], fax [571-273-0788], or email [patrick.darno@gmail.com].

/Mohammad Ali/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169

/Patrick A. Darno/
Examiner
Art Unit 2169
01-23-2009